

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

Richard Stoss,

Plaintiff

v.

Penn Credit Corporation,

Defendant

FILED ELECTRONICALLY

---

**COMPLAINT**

**I. Introduction**

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* (“the Act”) which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, unfair, and deceptive practices.

**II. Jurisdiction and Venue**

2. Jurisdiction of this Court is proper pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which permits an action under the Act to be brought in any court of competent jurisdiction.

3. Venue in this district is proper in that Defendant transacts business here and the conduct complained of is alleged to have occurred here.

### **III. Parties**

4. Plaintiff, Richard Stoss, is a natural person residing at 157 Valley View Park, Dallas, PA 18612.

5. Defendant, Penn Credit Corporation, (“the Collector”) is a corporation engaged in the business of collecting debts in this state with a place of business located at 916 South 14th Street, Harrisburg, PA 17104.

6. The Collector is a “debt collector” as defined by the Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

### **IV. Statement of Claim**

7. On or after October 27, 2009, the Collector was attempting to collect an alleged account (“the Account”) from Plaintiff.

8. The Account is a “debt” as that term is defined by the Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

9. The collector regularly uses the telephone and mails to attempt to collect consumer debts alleged to be due another.

10. Between October 27, 2009 and November 3, 2009, the Collector caused at least two (2) telephone calls (“*the Calls*”) to be placed to phone number (570) 674-5767.

11. The aforementioned phone number was assigned to the address identified in paragraph 4 at all times relevant to this matter.

12. During each of *the Calls*, an employee of the Collector left a message ("*the Messages*") for Plaintiff.

13. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11) requires a debt collector to disclose that the communication is from a debt collector in each communication with a consumer.

14. In one of *the Messages*, the caller failed to disclose that the call was from a debt collector.

15. In that one of *the Messages*, the caller failed to provide the disclosure required by 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11).

16. In the remaining one of *the Messages*, the caller did disclose that the call was from a debt collector.

17. 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(6) requires a debt collector to provide a meaningful disclosure of identity in each communication with a consumer.

18. In one of *the Messages*, the caller failed to state the name of the Collector.

19. In one of *the Messages*, the caller failed to state the purpose of the call.

20. In that one of *the Messages*, the caller failed to provide the meaningful disclosure of identity required by 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(6).

21. In the remaining one of *the Messages*, the caller did state the name of the Collector, and did state the purpose of the call.

22. *The Calls and Messages* were attempts to collect the Account.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant for damages, costs, attorney's fees, and such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

#### **V. Demand for Jury Trial**

23. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Respectfully Submitted,

s/ Kenneth W. Pennington  
Kenneth W. Pennington  
Bar Number PA 68353  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
Sabatini Law Firm, LLC  
216 N. Blakely St.  
Dunmore, PA 18512  
Phone (570) 341-9000  
Facsimile (570) 504-2769  
Email kpecf@bankruptcypa.com